United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark - Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/648,780 08/26/2003		08/26/2003	Alexander Gaiger	210121.465C12	3629	
500	7590	07/03/2006		EXAMINER		
SEED INT	ELLECT	FUAL PROPERTY	SCHWADRON, RONALD B			
701 FIFTH				ADTIBUT		
SUITE 6300)			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SEATTLE,	WA 98	104-7092	1644			
				DATE MAILED: 07/03/2004	DATE MAILED: 07/03/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No. Applicant(s)		-				
Office Action Summary			10/648,780	GAIGER ET AL.	GAIGER ET AL.				
			Examiner	Art Unit					
			Ron Schwadron, Ph.D.	1644					
Period fo	 The MAILING DATE of this communior Reply 	ication appe	ears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence ac	idress				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MANSIONS OF THE MANSIO	AILING DA of 37 CFR 1.136 unication. ututory period will will, by statute, o	TE OF THIS COMMUNIC (a). In no event, however, may a re I apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	CATION. Inply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this of the capacity of the capa					
Status									
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on							
			action is non-final.						
′=		-		ers prosecution as to the	e merits is				
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	on of Claims		•						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the a	polication							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are rejected to.								
	Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> are subject to restriction	n and/or ele	ection requirement						
	on Papers		oodon roquii omonii.						
	•	_							
	The specification is objected to by the								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:								
	Applicant may not request that any objec								
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exa	miner. Note the attached	Office Action or form P	ГО-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	or foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)[All b) Some * c) None of:	.							
	1. Certified copies of the priority of								
	2. Certified copies of the priority of3. Copies of the certified copies of								
				eceived in this National	Stage				
* 9	application from the Internation								
3	ee the attached detailed Office action	i iui a iist Oī	ute certified copies not re	eceivea.					
			-						
Attachment									
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	-0.045	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)					
3) 🔲 Infom	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P No(s)/Mail Date			/Mail Date commal Patent Application (PTC)-152)				
			-/	- *					

Application/Control Number: 10/648,780

Art Unit: 1644

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

Page 2

- I. Claims 10-25,l31 are drawn to peptides and compositions and vaccines containing said peptide, classified in Class 514, subclass 2.
- II. Claims 27,28,34 are drawn to polynucleotides, host cells and vectors classified in Class 514, subclass 44.
- III. Claims 9,29,32,33 are drawn to methods of treatment using peptides, classified in Class 514, subclass 885.
- IV. Claims 1-8,26 are drawn to methods of treatment using polynucleotides, classified in Class 514, subclass 43.
- V. Claim 30,31 are drawn to T cells , classified in Class 435, subclass 372.3 and 424, subclass 93.71.
- VI Claim 32,33 are drawn to methods of treatment with T cells, classified in Class 424, subclass 93.71 and 93.1.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 3. Inventions I,II and V are different products. Invention I is drawn to peptides, while invention II is drawn to nucleic acids and invention V is drawn to cells.. The aforementioned are recognized in the art as structurally and functionally different with different art recognized uses. Therefore they are novel and unobvious in view of each other and are patentably distinct.
- 4. Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as an immunogen for the production of antibodies which bind said peptide.

Application/Control Number: 10/648,780 Page 3

Art Unit: 1644

5. Inventions II and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process such recombinant method for making the peptide encoded by said nucleic acid.

- 6. Inventions III,IV,VI are different methods that use different ingredients (nucleic acids versus peptides versus cells) and process steps. Therefore they are novel and unobvious in view of each other and are patentably distinct.
- 7. Inventions V and VI are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the cells as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as in vitro assays.
- 8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for any group from Groups I-VI is not required for any other group from Groups I-VI and Groups I-VI have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 9. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.
- 10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one

Art Unit: 1644

claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).

11. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Art Unit: 1644

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ron Schwadron, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571 272-0851. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30-6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on 571 272-0841. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ron Schwadron, Ph.D. Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1644

RONALD B. SCHWADRON
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1800